	STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)										
Sr	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission	Comments / Suggestions				
1	EEEDUGT16	199640196	Sneha Samota	MAEDU-MESP01	Approved	Fresh Submission	The research design should be in detail				
2	EEEDUGT16	189759558	Fouzia Shaikh	MAEDU-MESP01	Approved	Fresh Submission	Research design should be in detail				
3	EEMPCAK03	198059822	Tushar Madhukar Jagtap	MAPC-MPCE36	Approved	Re-Submission	now it is ok				
4	EEMPCAK03	198078919	Yash Komal Singh Sirohi Savita	MAPC-MPCE36	Not Approved	Re-Submission	no match between objectives and hypothesesobjectives need to be reworked				
5	EEMPCAK03	198085638	Pallishree Panda	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Re-Submission	objectives not written properly, no match between objectives and hypotheses				
6	EEMPCAK03	2001446222	SUSHMA JITENDRA BHAPKAR	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Re-Submission	operational definitions and data collection procedure are not correct				
7	EEMPCAK03	188444992	Rajan Sharma	MAPC-MPCE36	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Data analysis is not specifictitle is not correct as per the objectives and hypotheses				
8	EEMPCAK03	170177022	Ravinder Bisht	MAPC-MPCE36	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Which tools will be used to measure independent and dependent variable is not given, data analysis not specific to hypotheses, data collection procedure not given properly, No research design is mentioned. What is meant by pre-test questionnaires and for what purpose interview will be conducted is not mentioned, is it relevant to your hypotheses? Why the direction for further research is given in synopsissampling is not correct- how can you compare first line managers with sales repare their job profiles same?				
9	EEMPCAK03	198078775	Shiva Dwivedi	MAPC-MPCE36	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Title, objectives and hypothesis not framed properly. They are very vague. Research Design is just a copy paste from some book and very general. Variables and their operational definitions not specified, tools to be used not described properly. Are you going to develop the test as mentioned here or are you going to use a standardized test? If it is a standardized test, who has developed it, which test it is, what is the reliability validity of the test? Data collection procedure is missing and data analysis is not specific				

		STATUS OF	SYNOPSIS EVALUATION	N (Fresh & Re-submis	sion) - LOT 03 -	JUNE 2021 (MA	PC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission	Comments / Suggestions
10	EEMPCAK03	2000164271	REENA NARANG	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Re-Submission	independent and dependent variables are not proper, first objective and hypothesis is not complete, operational definitions are not proper. Are you equating self confidence with self efficacy, give justification for using self efficacy scale. Statistical analysis is not specific.
11	EEMPCAK03	188435109	Poonam Vishal Chainani	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Objectives not written properly, operational definitions are given of the variables that are not not listed and are not mentioned in objectives. Data collection procedure not given. Under the title tools, it is written 'A questionnaire was prepared by the researcher to understand the level of support system and its impact on the mental health of the parent of special needs children.' which tool was prepared, are you measuring mental health and support system with one tool only? It is not clear from the synopsis whether you are talking about perceived support system or actual support system and how are you measuring it. data analysis is not specific. If it is a qualitative study, then which research technique are you going to use to collect and analyse the data
12	EEMPCAK03	2000163629	Disha Samresh Jain	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Re-Submission	In introduction there is no mention of REBT and CBT, Research design is contradictory to research methodology. First objective is not proper. Operational definitions are not correct. Data collection procedure and data analysis is not described
13	EEMPCSV02	2000624417	Puja Shrivastav	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	The tool to be used is apporpriate However I see no insight to be gained by comparing doctors and nurses Identify pychological attributes like resilience before you measure anxiety, so you would be able to see the link between these variables
14	EEMPCSV02	2001909456	Gauri Joglekar	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	No citations in your introduction Formatting has to be APA style Other research elements appropriate

		STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)											
Sr	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission	Comments / Suggestions						
15	EEMPCSV02	198105596	Pooja Sanghvi	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	Fromatting has to be in APA style						
16	EEMPCSV02	171297133	Manorama Mishra	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Title has been retained to the previous variables , while the ROL and methodology are related to suicidality Rationale mentions looking for qualitative factors leading to suicidality , however , the measurement seems quantitative through the use of scales The review identifies studies , many of which are addressing a number of factors other than the ones to be studied , the ROL lacks focus The scale for measuring suicidality , SPS states in the description that is id for use by trained technicians under the supervision of a trained professional It doesn't look like these criteria are being met In case identification of suicidal ideations, there seems to be no protocols in place to address that Suicidal ideation is a sensitive topic to handle for students and it isn't advisable Please pick factors that could affect levels of depression and that are easily measurable						
	EEMPCSV02	2000165489	Bageshree Thite	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved		It is unclear what your independent and dependent variables are You are measuring personal and organizational variables, your hypothesis says impact on personal life , what is the factor that is having the impact ? Your entire sample is married working women in the IT sector Neither your hypotheses nor your analysis make clear what you are actual insights you are trying to draw If it is only a measurement of these two variables then it isn't a study First few studies listed in the Review of literature are ficused on job satisfaction, reframe to add how they help						
	EEMPCSV02	198063625	Karuna Kithani	MAPC-MPCE16		Re-Submission	build the context of your present study						
		2001212420	Prashant Bhagat	MAPC-MPCE16		Re-Submission	All research elements in place						
20	EEMPCSV02	192025217	Lenny Francis	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Re-Submission	All research elements proposed are appropriate						

	STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)											
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission	Comments / Suggestions					
21	EEBCADM08	176720586	Jaffar Khan	BCA-BCSP64	Approved	Re-Submission	While implementing project include online payment module.					
22	EEEDUNR05	188433739	Bredon Marshal Furtado	PGDEMA-MESP49	Approved	Re-Submission	the sample size be increased					
23	EEEDUNR05	2004738824	Neha Shivashankar Pandey	PGDEMA-MESP49	Approved	Re-Submission	referencess should be written in chronological order					
24	EEEDUNR05	18178951	Kusum Chauhan	PGDEMA-MESP49	Approved	Re-Submission	referencess be in chronological order					
25	EEEDUGT16	2001215481	Shilpi Kumari	MAEDU-MESP01	Approved	Re-Submission	Many objectives . Might be difficult to achieve					
26	EEMPCAS17	192016146	t Kiran Nenshi Gajjar	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Submitted through project portal	You may write alternative hypothesis instead of Null					
27	EEMPCAS17	198073790	SHRUTIKA SUNIL PANDE	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Submitted through project portal	Sample should be at least 30 in each group and references should be written in APA 7th edition format					
28	EEMPCAS17	181771790	Sudha P.K.	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Submitted through project portal	Title may be modified like "During COVID-19 Pandemic" instead of due to. References is not written APA format so kindly correct it. Research design has not mentioned.					
29	EEMPCAS17	181771790	SUDHA PK	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Submitted through project portal	Title may be modified like "During COVID-19 Pandemic" instead of due to. References is not written in APA format so kindly correct it. Research design has not mentioned.					
30	EEMPCAS17	173806496	SUKANYA SUBHASH SUPRIYA NAIK	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Submitted through project portal	In the sample and research procedure kindly fill the space. Kindly mention research design in method section.					
31	EEMPCAS17	168554402	SAVLA SHEFALI ARVIND RANJAN	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Submitted through project portal	You may use variable Body-Esteem in the place of body image in the title as you are using Body-Esteem Scale. Write references in APA format as journal name should be in italic and should not be in bracket. For qualitative design which type of data collection technique you are using kindly mentioned it as well.					
32	EEMPCAS17	166849884	SWALEHA HAFIZ KHAN	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Submitted through project portal	Following things are need to be modify: 1. Hypothesis statement of 3 and 4, 2: Research design is not looking appropriate as per objective. 3. References are not written in APA format. 4. Some questionnaire details are missing.					

	STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)										
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission					
33	EEMPCMR11	192021961	VINITA SURESH CHHEDA	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	You have not used double spacing Leave 4-5 strokes when you start a new paragraph. Seems you have still not read the APA. What kind of definition you have written of depression. Why are you including treatment aspect in the definition No need to give full questionnaire in the methodology section. It goes in the Appendix				
34	EEMPCMR11	2001997478	Pratishtha Rajendra Amdoskar	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	Hypothesis # 2 is not right. Redo While changing paragraph leave 4-5 strokes. This was my earlier comment. You have not rectified				
35	EEMPCAS17	176726326	VARSHA DATTATREYA KALE	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Submitted through project portal	Write references as per APA format				
36	EEMPCMR11	198061298	Dhiraj B Rokade	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Margins are not right There is no double spacing You have not left 4-5 strokes while starting a new paragraph Review of Literature not right. First read some journal research articles then only you should attempt writing RoL Following is the order of chapters as per 6th ed. of APA: a. Introduction (inclusive of Literature Review) b. Method c. Results d. Discussion References Appendix 28 hypotheses????? DO NOT HAVE MORE THAN 6-7 HYPOTHESES REDO THE WHOLE THING. YOU HAVE NOT PAID ATTENTION TO MY EARLIER COMMENTS				
37	EEMPCAS17	185123091	ARUNITA ARUN AHUJA	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Submitted through project portal	Some references are not in APA format				

STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)

		STATUS OF	SYNOPSIS EVALUATION	N (Fresh & Re-submis	sion) - LOT 03 -	JUNE 2021 (MA	PC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission	Comments / Suggestions
38	EEMPCAS17	198089832	SANDHYA	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Submitted through project portal	Research questions/Hypothesis are not formed as per the demand of study therefore its very tough to understand the goal of proposed study only on the basis of objective and also references are not as per APA format
39	EEMPCAS17	173805036	GEETA NAIR	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Submitted through project portal	You should write alternative hypothesis and Research design. References are not in APA format so kindly correct it.
40	EEMPCAS17	198103861	NIRALI NIYUT SHAH	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved	Submitted through project portal	Write references in APA format and also include research design
41	EEMPCAS17	198070867	CHANDANI AKHENIA	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Submitted through project portal	Hypothesis need to be simplify. References should be on APA format as second line should start from 4th character.
42	EEMPCAS17	198074420	AMRUTA SUTHAR	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Submitted through project portal	You may write alternative hypothesis in the place of Null. Write references in APA format.
43	EEMPCAS17	170386126	PEARL JOSEPH DSILVA	MAPC-MPCE36	Not Approved	Submitted through project portal	Design and Method are not appropriate as per research title and references are not in alphabet order as well as in APA format.
44	EEMPCAS17	181777887	HARSH YAGNESH PANDYA	MAPC-MPCE36	Approved with modification	Submitted through project portal	You may write alternative hypothesis instead of null. Kindly correct references as per APA format and mention research design as well
45	EEMPCAT04	188432737	Husain Ronaque	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Title and Hypothesis not framed properly, Techniques of analysis and stats not explained.
46	EEMPCAT04	198085691	Shaikh Heena	MAPC-MPCE36	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	Use one more variable as relationship between perceived stress and analysis is very obvious.
47	EEMPCAT04	192024412	Kejal Jasani	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	Mention rating scale-Likert type etc.
48	EEMPCAT04	198089420	Suchita Sorte	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Hypothesis not framed properly-not specific-not in present tense, How IV is operationalized? -not mentioned, what type of music? How many sessions? how its planned?
49	EEMPCAT04	2001599983	Amitabh Karmarkar	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Re-Submission	Mismatch between Hypothesis-Title-Statistical test. Correlational or inferential ?? Why so many tests? are these IVs??

		STATUS OF	SYNOPSIS EVALUATIO	N (Fresh & Re-submis	sion) - LOT 03 -	JUNE 2021 (MA	PC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)
Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission	Comments / Suggestions
50	EEMPCAT04	198078750	Mariam	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	How 'social life' is operationalized? Why ANOVA? Social life-IV or DV? Rating scale of questionnaire-Likert type etc. not specified.
51	EEMPCSP13	185144934	Kinjal Bharat Borana	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Re-Submission	 Rationale needs to be adequate. The learner needs to justify the topic in terms of implications for clinical psychology specialization taken.
52	EEMPCSP13	2002037194	Clint Sebastian	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Re-Submission	1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria needs to include other demographic factors also like education, occupation, type of family etc.
53	EEMPCSP13	198104831	Monica Pathak	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Re-Submission	Ensure that the project work is original. Write in your own words.
54	EEMPCSP13	2000623370	Vanita Anil Patil	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved	Re-Submission	 Rationale needs to be adequate. Learning style and learning approaches need to be operationally defined. Learner can suggest intervention strategies based on findings.
55	EEMPCSP13	2001866966	Neeta Jaswantlal Manchanda	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	 Introduction needs to be written properly. Recent studies need to be cited in Review. Rationale needs to be adequate. References need to include only those studies mentioned in the synopsis. Ensure that the work is original and done properly.
56	EEMPCSP13	198080639	Alok Kumar Jha	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Re-Submission	 Rationale needs to be adequate. References need to be in APA format.
57	EEMPCSP13	198078879	Shobha Dineshchandra Upadhyay	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved	Re-Submission	References need to be written in APA format.
58	EEMPCSP13	2001525996	Varsha Hemant Jodhani	MAPC-MPCE26	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	 Introduction and review needs to be written properly. Rationale needs to be adequate. Learner needs to take demographic variables such as socio economic status, family type, rural-urban location if possible etc. and do the analysis accordingly. References need to be in APA format. Ensure an original work.
59	EEMPCSP13	2000933186	Zeenat Amin Hamirani	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	 Rationale needs to be adequate. Objectives and hypotheses need to be comprehensive. References need to be in alphabetical order.

	STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)										
s	r.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission	Comments / Suggestions			
6	0	EEMPCNT01	176713068	Nilofer Arif Sayed	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	 You are using R. B. Cattell's Culture Fair Test, which is a copy righted. You cannot send copy righted material through social media. What are the levels of IV? What is the research design? The citation and references do not match. Have you obtained permission from the author of the psychological test/tools to use it? APA Style of citations and referencing is not followed 			
6	1	EEMPCNT01	152459521	Shambhu nath mewalal yadav	MAPC-MPCE26	Not Approved	Re-Submission	 Operational definition is not correctly provided. Some information is written which is not operational definition Introduction- does not provide adequate background for carrying out a study. It is very short Provide citations for operational definitions Please describe the procedure of data collection How you will approach students from different school and administer these paper and pencil scale? Citations are not provided in the Introduction section Describe variables i.e IV and DV What are the levels of IV? Please check the methodology for correctness. What is the research design? the APA Style of citations and referencing is not followed The citation and references do not match. Have you obtained permission from the author of the psychological test/tools to use it? There is no connection between title, objectives, hypotheses and statistical test. Please explain, how you would be using ANOVA? Type of ANOVA etc? 			

Sr.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	•	Fresh	Comments / Suggestions
62	EEMPCNT01	198062780	Debarshi Dutta	MAPC-MPCE36	Not Approved	Re-Submission	 Page no 1- shows title as "To Study the Relationship between Psychological Meaningfulness, Work Engagement and Organizational Commitment among the Millennials at Workplace"- which is not as per APA style and requirement of Psychological research What is the research design to be used in the study? Provide correct research design. Please justify using Chi-square statistic, along with other methods Hypotheses and statistical tool do not match APA of referencing style should be followed Citations and references do not match. Please provide all references correctly. It seems that in the resubmission's corrections are not properly done as per the suggestions and comments provided by the examiner
63	EEMPCNT01	2000429241	Shachi Vaidya	MAPC-MPCE16	Approved with modification	Re-Submission	 Citations and references do not match. Please provide all references correctly. Lot of references are not provided
64	EEMPCNT01	166851859	PRIYANKA WAMAN HEDAOO	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Re-Submission	 Title of the study is not properly formulated There is no match between title of the study hypotheses and statistical technique Citations and references do not match. Please provide all references correctly. Citations are inadequate for the Introduction Lot of citations are missing or not provided in Introduction section What is the research design?

STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)

_	STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)									
S	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synopsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission	Comments / Suggestions			
65	5 EEMPCNT01	2002189920	SUSHIL HASMUKH PATEL	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Re-Submission	 Title is not formulated as per the APA norms even in resubmission. Please correct it What are the levels of IV? What is the research design? The information provided by you is not a research design Hypotheses are not correctly formulated Justify sample size What is the technique of data analysis? Which psychological test will be used for the study? Please provide Reliability and validity of tools/ questionnaires to be used by you Overall incomplete proposal and not written as per the standard of MA in Psychology 			
66	EEMPCNT01	188433262	Saniya Masumali Hashmani	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved	Re-Submission	 The title is not formulated as per the APA norms. Please correct it. Introduction is irrelevant - it does not provide any background or citations related to EGO STRENGTH, SELF-ACTUALIZATION AND THE LEVEL OF BURNOUT Hypothesis - most of the hypothesis are related mediation There is no match between research design, hypotheses and statistical technique. Not at all match with the standard of MA thesis in Psychology 			

STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)

		STATUS OF SYNOPSIS EVALUATION (Fresh & Re-submission) - LOT 03 - JUNE 2021 (MAPC/MCA/BCA/MAEDU/PGDEMA)										
S	r.	EE Code	Enrolment No.	Name of the Student	Programme-Course Code	Status of synonsis	Fresh Submission / Re-submission	Comments / Suggestions				
6	7	EEMPCNT01	188433302	Sayyada Masumali Hashmani	MAPC-MPCE16	Not Approved		 Please change the title of the study appropriately There is difference between sampling - Population For the present study, School students of age group 13 years to 16 years from 2 government and 2 private schools in Mumbai. *vs* Sampling detail Data will be collected on the total 100 School students from 2 government and 2 private school in Faridabad. Out of which 50 will be male and 50 female. *Mumbai & Faridabad* 3. It seems that proposal is plagiarized 4. Research proposal is not at par with the MA Psychology level 				